IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3921 OF 2005
IN
REVIEW PETITION (LODGING) NO.64 OF 2005
IN
APPEAL NO.689 OF 2005
FROM
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1834 OF 2003
IN
TESTAMENTARY & INTESTATE PETITION NO.384 OF 2003

Shabnam Kapadia & Anr. .. Petitioners
V/s
Gopal Ramourti & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.Deepen Merchant with Ms.Smita Ranpise i/by S.K.Srivastav & Co.for the Petitioners.

Ms.Heena Cheda i/by M/s.Hariyani & Co.for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

CORAM: DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.

DATE : 20.01.2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioners and the learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.1 and 2. By this Notice of Motion the Petitioners are seeking condonation of 94 days delay in filing the Review Application. Perused the affidavit in support of the Review Application. For the reasons stated therein, sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Petitioners. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)